

1 courts used to perpetuate unlawful searches and seizures.
2 This is not a novel concept as some may have given you
3 the impression it is, and if I am a female voice rising
4 here, I might say that in civil proceedings it has been
5 used most frequently, or discussed most frequently in di-
6 vorce cases.

7 As all of us have read about it, the various prac-
8 tices of private detectives, which are becoming increasing-
9 ly sophisticated, invade the most intimate parts of our
10 lives.

11 This evidence is not admissible evidence in an
12 adultery charge or criminal charge. You may not introduce
13 the evidence of a detective who was lying under the bed.
14 However, your wife or husband can use that evidence in a
15 divorce proceeding. This is ludicrous, unfair, and wrong.

16 And in a modern society where the rights of
17 innocent people, or law abiding, or non-criminal people
18 are certainly more important than the rights of the
19 criminal accused, the least that can be done is to state
20 affirmatively in the Consitution that where private rights
21 are invaded, the courts cannot be used to take advantage